



Exceptional Teachers Resource Repository Submission Guide

Thank you for your interest in submitting a resource for the Exceptional Teacher Resource Repository! We recommend using the rubric below to evaluate your submission to the repository before completing the submission. This rubric is the one that will be used by expert reviewers as they review your submission.

1. Does this resource effectively address the needs of its target audiences (is the target audience identified in the submission application accurate)?				
1	2	3	4	5
No, the resource does not address the needs of any of the identified target audiences	No, the resource addresses needs of one or more but not all of the identified target audiences (if more than one is identified)	Yes, the resource addresses needs of one or more but not all of the identified target audiences (if more than one is identified)	Yes, the resource effectively addresses needs of all identified target audiences	Yes, the resource effectively addresses needs of all identified target audiences and could also address the needs of additional audiences
2. Does this resource have practical utility to stakeholders in special education, gifted and talented education, and/or early intervention?*				
1	2	3	4	5
No, the resource does not have utility to any stakeholders in education	No, the resource does not have utility to stakeholders in special/gifted education or early intervention	Yes, the resource has some utility to at least one group of stakeholders	Yes, the resource has utility to at least one group of stakeholders	Yes, the resource has strong utility to at least one group of stakeholders
Why or why not?				
3. Does the description provided in the submission accurately describe the resource and its utility?*				
1	2	3	4	5

No, major edits are needed to the resource description to accurately describe the resource AND its utility	No, major edits are needed to the resource description to accurately describe the resource OR its utility	Yes, but some edits are needed to improve the accuracy of the description of the resource AND the resource's utility	Yes, but some small edits are needed to improve the accuracy of the description of the resource OR the resource's utility	Yes, the description accurately describes the resource and its utility
What should be added to/edited in the description to improve the accuracy of the description of the resource and its utility?				
4. Would you recommend this resource to others? *				
1	2	3	4	5
No, I would recommend against this resource	No, I would not recommend this resource	I would neither recommend nor not recommend this resource	Yes, I would recommend this resource	Yes, I would strongly recommend this resource to others
5. Is this resource reflective of current research/best practices in special education? *				
1	2	3	4	5
No, this resource misrepresents current best practices and research	No, this resource does not reflect current best practices or research	Yes, this resource somewhat reflects at least one current best practice or research	Yes, this resource reflects at least one current best practice or research	Yes, this resource accurately reflects many current best practices and/or research

6. Do you see any significant deficiencies in this resource that you believe should be addressed before approving it? If so, what?				
Final Review Score				
1	2	3	4	5
Strongly recommend against inclusion of this resource in the repository	Recommend against inclusion of this resource in the repository	Neither recommend nor recommend against inclusion of this resource in the repository	Recommend inclusion of this resource in the repository	Strongly recommend inclusion of this resource in the repository

Questions? Contact repository@exceptionalchildren.org.